

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FILED
9-28-16
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Identify
Disadvantaged Communities in the San
Joaquin Valley and Analyze Economically
Feasible Options to Increase Access to
Affordable Energy in Those Disadvantaged
Communities.

Rulemaking No. 15-03-010
(Filed March 26, 2015)

FINAL REPORT OF THE DATA WORKING GROUP
(PUBLIC VERSION)

DARRYL GRUEN
Attorney for

Office of Ratepayer Advocates
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 703-1973
Email: djg@cpuc.ca.gov

KIM HASSAN
Attorney for

Southern California Gas Company
555 West Fifth Street, GT14E7
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (619) 890-4851
Email: KHassan@SemptraUtilities.com

CHARLES MIDDLEKAUF
Attorney for

Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Law Department
P. O. Box 7442, MC-B30A-2475
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: 415-973-6971
Email: crmd@pge.com

ANDREA TOZER
Sr. Attorney for

Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Ave./PO Box 800
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6713
Email: Andrea.Tozer@sce.com

September 28, 2016

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Identify Disadvantaged Communities in the San Joaquin Valley and Analyze Economically Feasible Options to Increase Access to Affordable Energy in Those Disadvantaged Communities.

Rulemaking No. 15-03-010
(Filed March 26, 2015)

**FINAL REPORT OF THE DATA WORKING GROUP
(PUBLIC VERSION)**

Pursuant to the *Administrative Law Judge’s Email Ruling issued on September 26, 2016, which allows the Final Data Working Group Report to be filed September 28* (“Ruling”), the Data Working Group¹ provides the following final report summarizing the activities of the Data Working Group and the information requested in the Ruling. This report is an update to the report of the Data Working Group filed August 29, 2016, in advance of the August 31 workshop. As directed in Administrative Law Judge McKinney’s ruling on September 8, 2016, on September 12, 2016, the Data Working Group filed a list of representative communities for cost estimates and criteria used to select them. The final public list of communities is attached as attachment A, the confidential version of the final list of communities will be filed under seal.²

ORA updated the illustrative map shown at the August 31 workshop and filed and served it to the service list on September 15, in compliance with the ALJ’s rulings. The map is available at the following link:

<https://drive.google.com/open?id=1dO8DKLsfk9injmoRKwQrYoAUjJQ>

¹ The Data Working Group consists of the Commission’s Energy Division staff, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”), Southern California Gas Company (“SoCalGas”), Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”), the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (“ORA”), and other interested parties.

² Consistent with Commission rules regarding the confidentiality of customer information, information in the public version is redacted to reflect situations involving less than 100 customers.

Since the August 31, 2016 workshop, the Data Working Group has focused on finalizing a list of ten representative communities for which to develop cost estimates to extend gas service, and on confirming and updating the pipeline distance data in the master spreadsheet. ORA sent a joint data request to Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”) and Southern California Gas Company (“SoCalGas”) on August 31, with a due date of September 15. ORA received responses from PG&E on September 15 and from SoCalGas on September 23.

With this new data, a new tab was added to the spread sheet “Distances” that includes the distances from the city center point and the approximate city boundary to the nearest gas transmission line and the nearest gas distribution line (Columns C-F for PG&E and Columns G-J for SoCalGas).³ Column “D” on the tab “SJV Master” was updated based on the minimum distance to either transmission or distribution line as well. None of this new data has been marked confidential. Even with the addition of this new data, the data set assumptions identified in the workshop on August 31st are the same.

The Data Working Group jointly selected ten communities for which to develop high-level cost estimates for gas facility extension projects. PG&E and SoCalGas are preparing these estimates, which are due to the proceeding’s service list on October 12, 2016.

Having completed the work initially assigned to the group when it was formed, this report is the final report of the Data Working Group.

³ SoCalGas must consider more than just the distance between a community and a transmission line when developing service extension cost estimates. In order to provide service from a Transmission line, SoCalGas’ policy requires Transmission tap application criteria to be met (i.e. load, pipe diameter size). As a result, although distances may show Transmission as the closest source of supply, if Transmission service requirements are not met, service will need to be supplied from the closest Distribution facilities.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ DARRYL GRUEN

DARRYL GRUEN

Attorney for
Office of Ratepayer Advocates
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 703-1973
Email: djg@cpuc.ca.gov

/s/ KIM HASSAN

KIM HASSAN

Attorney for
Southern California Gas Company
555 West Fifth Street, GT14E7
Los Angeles, CA 90013
Telephone: (619) 890-4851
Email: KHassan@SempraUtilities.com

/s/ CHARLES MIDDLEKAUF

CHARLES MIDDLEKAUF

Attorney for
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
Law Department
P. O. Box 7442, MC-B30A-2475
San Francisco, CA 94120
Telephone: 415-973-6971
Email: crmd@pge.com

/s/ ANDREW TOZER

ANDREA TOZER

Sr. Attorney for
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Ave./PO Box 800
Rosemead, CA 91770
Telephone: (626) 302-6713
Email: Andrea.Tozer@sce.com